## **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

REC'D	1	0	APR	2006
WIPO				PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

H-33555A/USN International application No. International fi		FOR FURTHER	FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
		International filing of 10.12.2004	late (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.12.2003	
	ional Patent Class C12N7/00 A61K		national classification a	nd IPC	
Applica NOVA	<sup>nt</sup> ARTIS AG et al	-			
1. T	This report is the Authority under A	international p article 35 and to	reliminary examinatic ansmitted to the app	on report, established b licant according to Artic	y this International Preliminary Examining sle 36.
2. T					
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. ⊠ sent to the applicant and to the International					
	and/o	s of the descrip r sheets contain istrative Instru	ning rectifications aut	rawings which have be chorized by this Authorit	en amended and are the basis of this report ty (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	beyor	s which supers nd the disclosu lemental Box.	ede earlier sheets, b re in the international	ut which this Authority of application as filed, as	considers contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b	o.   (sent to the sequence)	ne <i>Internationa</i> listing and/or t	ables related thereto,	of (indicate type and nu in celectronic form onl 2 of the Administrative	umber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a y, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Instructions).
4. T	This report conta	ins indications	relating to the followi	ng items:	
_	Fhis report conta ☑ Box No. I	ins indications		ng items:	
٥	_ `	Basis of the re	eport		
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish	eport ment of opinion with		ntive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity	eport ament of opinion with of invention	regard to novelty, inver	
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability;	eport nment of opinion with of invention atement under Article citations and explana	regard to novelty, inver	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. V	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain documents	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Atement under Article citations and explana ments cited	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain documents	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Itement under Article citations and explana ments cited ts in the international	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain documents	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Atement under Article citations and explana ments cited	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
© C C C C	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain documents Certain defect Certain observed	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Itement under Article citations and explana ments cited ts in the international	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
© C C C C	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VIII  stubmission of the	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain documents Certain defect Certain observed	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Itement under Article citations and explana ments cited ts in the international	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s application ational application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
Date of 01.09	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VIII  stubmission of the	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain obsets demand	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Itement under Article citations and explana ments cited Its in the international vations on the interna	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to notions supporting such s application ational application  Date of completion	ovelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
Date of	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. V  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII  created a submission of the submission of the submission address and mailing address and	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain document of the comments of the certain observation observation of the certain observation	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Itement under Article citations and explana ments cited Its in the international vations on the interna	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s application ational application  Date of completion  07.04.2006	ovelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
Date of	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII  creaming address nary examining au  European D-80298 M	Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; Certain docur Certain defect Certain observe e demand	eport  Iment of opinion with of invention Atement under Article citations and explanate ments cited ts in the international vations on the international	regard to novelty, inver 35(2) with regard to no tions supporting such s application ational application  Date of completion  07.04.2006	ovelty, inventive step or industrial tatement

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014117

	Box No. I Basis of the report			
	With regard to the language, thi filed, unless otherwise indicated	s report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.		
	☐ This report is based on tran which is the language of a t	slations from the original language into the following language , ranslation furnished for the purposes of:		
	<ul><li>☐ international search (und</li><li>☐ publication of the international preliminary</li></ul>	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Itional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
≥.	With regard to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whi have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>			
	Description, Pages			
	2-16	as originally filed		
	1	received on 01.09.2005 with letter of 13.04.2005		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-18	received on 01.09.2005 with letter of 13.04.2005		
	☐ a sequence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	.   The amendments have rest	ulted in the cancellation of:		
	☐ the description, pages			
	<ul><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li><li>☐ the drawings, sheets/figs</li></ul>	3		
	☐ the sequence listing (sp	ecify):		
	☐ any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):		
4.	<ul> <li>This report has been estable had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)</li> </ul>	lished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the )).		
		S		
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	ecify):		
	, , ,	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		
	TT TOOM T UPPTTON O	Anna a- a a an amanda amanda musik da mamman aukaman gan.		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014117

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The obv	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,				
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 13-17				
	because:	cause:			
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 13-17 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014117

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2

No: Claims

1, 3-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

none

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12, 18

No: Claims

none

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

## International application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/014117

#### RE Item I

Basis of the opinion

Correction of the ATCC accession number from H-33555A to PTA-6306 as clerical error was requested. This correction is not allowable. The criteria to be applied for correction of obvious/clerical errors is that the error is itself obvious and the way it should be corrected is also obvious to the skilled reader. In other words, the correction must be obvious in the sense that it is immediately evident **that nothing else would have been intended** than what is offered as the correction. This is not the case for changes in arbitrarily assigned accession numbers.

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 13-17 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(l) PCT).

For the assessment of the present claims 13-17 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

#### Re Item V & Re Item VII

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement & Certain defects in the international application

### International application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/014117

The application discloses bovine enterovirus strain 3A115, NAH-1013; ATCC H-33555A; isolated from nasal discharged cows. It is current practice to formally acknowledge novelty for deposited biological material although the application as filed does not provide any evidence whatsoever that the deposited strain is by any criteria different from known BEV isolates.

The ISA is unable to detect any technical features that would allow for a distinction of subject-matter other than the deposited material from the strains of the prior art (claims 1, 3-5, 7-18 Article 33(1) PCT).

Furthermore the application as originally filed fails to disclose any technical effect to be associated with the provision of said potentially new strain of BEV. In said context it is to be noted that no evidence whatsoever could be detected that supports any allegation that the viral strain may be the causative agent for any disease. For said reason alone claims directed to immunogenic compositions, antibodies, diagnosis, and therapeutic methods are entirely unjustified and unsupported (Article 6 EPC). In other words no evidence is disclosed that would justify any assumption that any technical problem has been solved at all. The entire set of claims is therefore considered to lack an inventive step (Article 33(2) PCT).

The following further applies.

Claim 1/7: These claims fail to define the subject-matter by meaningful technical features as they are a mere reformulation of the technical problem and amount to nothing more as the definition of the result to achieved. ("A cure for AIDS"-type claim).

The above notwithstanding, isolation of particular strains of virus, bacteria, cells with particular properties does usually not provide a concept fit for generalisation allowing the reproducible isolation of further equivalent such strains. As a consequence any claims relating to subject-matter different from the deposited biological material is not sufficiently disclosed (Article 5 PCT, Rule 5.1(a)(v), Guidelines (PCT/GL/ISPE/1) II 4.13(a)).

Claim 18: The ISA notes that no protein nor any monoclonal antibody has been disclosed. It is not apparent on basis of what technical features said antibodies should be

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014117

distinguishable from antibodies that bind to prior art strains (Article 6 PCT).

A document reflecting the prior art described on page 1 lines 25-27, is not identified in the description (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).